

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Mahinder Kumar,  
S/o Sh. Mahavir Singh,  
R/o VPO-Amarpura, Tehsil Abohar,  
District-Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Abohar, District Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Abohar, District Fazilka.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.1779/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
09.02.2019	Nil	27.03.2019	Nil	10.05.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Mahinder Kumar.  
Respondents- Sh. Jagwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer.

**ORDER**

The appellant had sought to know an outcome of a complaint made by him against the Gram Panchayat of Village-Amarpura, Tehsil-Abohar, District-Fazilka. In the complaint, the appellant had made allegations towards misutilisation of funds allocated to the Panchayat for construction of some streets and drains. Some allegations about encroachment of Panchayat land have also been made.

The respondents have furnished the Commission with the copy of the reports sent by the District Development and Panchayat Officer to the Director, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development after making an enquiry and visiting the site. Its copy has been arranged to be provided to the appellant during the course of video conference. The Commission feels that the appellant has been sufficiently informed and no further action seems to be called for.

**Disposed.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Balwinder Singh,  
S/o Sh. Lal Singh,  
R/o Vill. Kalasa, Tehsil Raikot,  
District-Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer  
Raikot, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Ludhiana.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.765/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
25.09.2018	Nil	10.11.2019	Nil	20.02.2019

**Present:** Appellant- None.  
Respondents- Sh. Navneet Joshi, BDPO.

**ORDER:**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The appellant is seeking a copy of the demarcation report having been issued by the Tehsildar consequent upon the request made by the Gram Panchayat of Village Kalsan, Tehsil- Raikot, District-Ludhiana vide their letter No.1965 dated 30.12.2015 and letter no. 1880 dated 01.06.2018.

The BDPO, who is present in the video conference, states that as on today demarcation has not been done by the Revenue Authorities. Obviously, the same is not available with them. When the information does not exist on records, the same cannot be furnished.

The appeal is **disposed** with the directions to the Gram Panchayat authorities to pursue the matter with the Tehsildar and have the demarcation clinched and provide the information to the information seeker as and when they lay their hand to it.

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Avtar Singh  
R/o VPO-Sidhwan Kalan,  
Tehsil-Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer  
Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development & Panchayat Officer,  
Ludhiana.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.769/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
16.04.2018	Nil	04.06.2018	Nil	20.02.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Avtar Singh.  
Respondents- Sh. Jaswinder Singh, Superintendent, O/o DDPO, Ludhiana.

**ORDER:**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The following order was passed by the bench on 29.05.2019:

*“The respondents represented by Sh. Lakhbir Rai, Panchayat Secretary, say that they have brought along the information which has been arranged to be handed over on the spot in the V.C. to the appellant. The appellant apprehends some deficiencies in it. The respondents are directed to file a written reply to the notice of the Commission with regard to the information sought, besides explaining the delay in providing the information. The appellant may also like to revert to the submissions made by the respondents before the Commission, if he so desires.”*

The case has come up today. From the discussion, it transpires that the information available on record with them has been transmitted. However, the appellant is questioning about the

Contd...pg...2



-2-

**Appeal Case No.769/2019**

efficacy of the decision taken and the propriety of the functionaries of the Gram Panchayat.

The Commission desires the DDPO, Ludhiana to look into it. The appellant has been informed. The Commission does not feel requirement of further intervention into the matter.

The appeal is **disposed** accordingly.

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Mohinder Pal,  
R/o # 117, Model Town,  
Phase-2, Bathinda.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Executive Engineer,  
Panchayati Raj Division, Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Superintending Engineer,  
Panchayati Raj Circle, Bathinda

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.797/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
31.07.2018	23.08.2018	23.09.2019	Nil	21.02.2019

**Present:** Appellant- None.  
Respondents –Sh. Satish Kumar, XEN, Panchayati Raj, Faridkot-PIO  
Sh. Mahesh Garg, SDO, Panchayati Raj, Bathinda.

**ORDER:**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The following order was passed by the bench on 29.05.2019:

*“The appellant has sought the copies of the Diary & Dispatch Registers along with the postal receipts of registered letters having been sent during the period from 01.07.2015 to 31.07.2018 by the respondents. The Commission does not find justification in provision of such a massive information which will result in waste of public resources. The respondents are advised to allow him an inspection within a period of 15 days on mutually agreed upon date and time and supply certified copies of the documents but not beyond 50 pages. In case the appellant is not satisfied with the aforesaid quantum, he should justify the demand of additional copies so as to serve a public cause.”*

The case has again come up today. The appellant is absent. Sh. Satish Kumar, XEN cum PIO, Panchayati Raj, Faridkot, states that in compliance with the aforementioned order, the appellant was requested on couple of occasions to come present to inspect the record so that he could be furnished with the copies of the documents identified. However, he did not visit the office.



-2-

**Appeal Case No.797/2019**

The appellant is absent in the hearing today. Seemingly, he is not interested to pursue the matter. In the scenario, the Commission is not inclined to intervene further into the matter.

**Disposed.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. Mohinder Singh,  
S/o Bakar Singh,  
Vill. Megha, Panjgrain Hithar,  
PO Panje ke Uttar,  
Distt Ferozepur (152024).

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Guruharsahai.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Ferozepur.

Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 2060/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
12.10.2017	Nil	26.02.2018	Nil	04.05.2018

**Present:** Appellant- None.  
Respondents- Sh. Chanda Singh, Panchayat Secretary.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The appellant is absent on trot. It seems that he is satisfied with the information. Sh. Chanda Singh, Panchayat Secretary, regrets the delay and assures the Commission to be watchful in future.

In view of aforesaid facts, the appeal is **disposed** and the show cause notice is filed.

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Gurcharan Singh,  
S/o Sh. Kirpal Singh,  
R/o Vill. Basti Aziz Wali, PO Sandhe Hasham,  
Tehsil & Distt. Ferozepur.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Ghall Khurd, Distt. Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Distt. Ferozepur.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.2611/2018**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal
16.09.2017	Nil	15.01.2018	Nil	17.07.2018

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Gurcharan Singh.  
Respondents- None.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

It shall be relevant to reproduce the order passed on 30.01.2019 and 29.05.2019.

30.01.2019

*The Commission could not reach the appellant through video conferencing due to technical glitch.*

*Sh. Parampal Singh, VDO and Sh. Ranjit Singh, Assistant are present at Commission's office, Chandigarh. Sh. Parampal Singh, VDO, has brought along some of the record pertaining to the works executed under MNREGA. He is directed to transmit it to the appellant immediately. However, he says that he has not been able to provide rest of the record due to frequent transfer of the incumbents. The Commission does not appreciate it. Nonetheless, it directs the respondents to allow him an inspection of the record and provide him the certified copies of the documents identified by him but not beyond one hundred pages free of cost."*

Contd...pg...2



-2-

**Appeal Case No.2611/2018**

29.05.2019

*“The case has again come up today. The respondents, being represented by Sh.Gurmail Singh, Panchayat Secretary, state that he has recently joined. Sh. Parmapal Singh, his predecessor till date has not handed over the record to him. The Commission takes an adverse notice of it. The original application was filed on 16.09.2017 and the first appeal was made on 15.01.2018. Despite the lapse of more than a year and half, the authorities have failed to provide the sought for information.*

*From the record, it is seen that the DDPO has been consistently advising the BDPO to monitor the transmission of information. However, the BDPO intriguingly has stonewalled the issue leaving it to the sweet will of the Panchayat Secretary who has been unmindful of the compliance of the provisions of RTI Act.*

*The Commission finds that the BDPO Ghall Khurd and Sh. Parampal Singh, the then Panchayat Secretary have willfully denied and obstructed the flow of information and have violated the provisions under Section 7(1) of the RTI Act. They have rendered themselves liable for penal action.*

*The BDPO Ghall Khurd, District Ferozepur and Sh.Dharampal Singh, Panchayat Secretary are issued a show cause notice to explain in a self- attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the*

Contd...pg...3



-3-

**Appeal Case No.2611/2018**

*complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on them for causing willful delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment suffered by him.*

*In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.*

The respondents are absent despite the issue of show cause notice. The appellant admits having received some record, but he is not satisfied with its adequacy. The BDPO, who is under a show cause notice, is again advised to make good the deficiencies, which shall be pointed out by the appellant to him, under intimation to the Commission. The BDPO should note that he is already under show cause notice and any further dereliction towards provisions of the information shall invite the imposition of penalty without any further opportunity.

To come up on **23.10.2019 at 11.30 AM through video conference at Ferozpur.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Jora Singh,  
 S/o Sh.Niranjan Singh,  
 R/o Vill.Burj Baghel Singh Wala  
 Tehsil Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
 O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
 Malerkotla, District Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,  
 O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
 Sangrur.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.809/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
23.10.2017	Nil	28.03.2018	11.06.2018	22.03.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Jora Singh.  
 Respondents- Sh. Dharam Singh, Panchayat Secretary.

**ORDER:**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The following order was made by the bench on 29.05.2019:

*The appellant is seeking information with regard to various development works having been executed by the Gram Panchayat of Village Burj Baghel Singh Wala, Tehsil Malerkotla, District Sangrur. The original application has been filed way back on 23.10.2017. Even the appeal made before the First Appellate Authority did not elicit any response. The appellant has been constrained to file 2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal with the Commission which takes a serious note of indifferent demeanour of the respondents.*

*The BDPO Malerkotla, District Sangrur is issued a show cause notice to explain in a self- attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on him for causing willful delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Appellant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment*

Contd...pg...2



**Appeal Case No.809/2019**

*suffered by him.*

*In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under Section 20(1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.*

The matter has again come up today. Sh. Dharam Singh, Panchayat secretary has appeared in person. He has produced before the Commission a copy of an acknowledgement issued by the appellant for having received the information to his satisfaction. In face of documents produced before the Commission, the contention of the appellant for having not received any information cannot be relied upon. The Commission is satisfied that information has been transmitted and no further action is called for. The appeal is **disposed**.

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
( Yashvir Mahajan )  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Harjinder Singh,  
S/o Sh. Swaran Singh,  
R/o VPO-Gumti Khurd(Sewa Wala)  
Tehsil-Jaito,District-Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Jaito, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Faridkot.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.774/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
31.10.2018	14.11.2018	01.01.2019	Nil	20.02.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Harjinder Singh.  
Respondents- None

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The appellant having failed to procure the information from the PIO and filing an appeal before the First Appellate Authority has been constrained to file second appeal with the Commission on 20.02.2019. The appellant has sought information concerning the details of grants having been received by the Gram Panchayat of Village Gumti Khurd, Block-Jaito, District-Faridkot from the year 2014 to 2018 and the details of expenditure made thereto. He has also requisitioned the amount having been received under NAREGA scheme and the manner of its outlay. Some information about the amount of lease having been obtained by the Gram Panchayat against the auction of Panchayati land etcetera has also been asked.

It transpires that the concerned Panchayat Secretary had asked for an amount of Rs.6576/- as a cost of providing the information, which was remitted by the

Contd...pg...2



-2-

**Appeal Case No.774/2019**

appellant to the BDPO through bank draft. Even though, the cost of information in handsome amount was deposited, the respondents did not respond with furnishing of information. The original application was made on 30.10.2018 and the appeal was preferred on 20.02.2019. It is a serious case of callousness and misconduct on the part of the BDPO and Panchayat Secretary. The Commission takes it as a case of collective responsibility of the both.

The BDPO, Jaito, and the Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat of Village Gumti Khurd hereby issued a show cause notice to explain in a self- attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on him for causing willful delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Complainant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment suffered by him.

In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under section 20 (1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.

Meanwhile, the Commission feels that the information if xeroxed shall be massive and voluminous. It shall be in the fitness of things that the PIO shall allows him an

Contd...pg...3



-3-

**Appeal Case No.774/2019**

inspection on 28.08.2019 in office of the BDPO at 11.00 AM. The appellant shall identify the documents. The certified copies of which shall be supplied by them to him. The Commission feels that the documents to an extent of 200 pages at maximum shall be relevant for his cause. The Commission reiterates that it has already taken a very serious view of extreme delay and conduct of having extracted a very heavy sum from the appellant without providing the information. Further dereliction in providing a reply to the Show Cause Notice and information as observed above, shall have serious consequences.

To come up on **25.09.2019 at 11.30AM through video conference at Faridkot.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Gurmeet Singh,  
S/o Sh.Gurdev Singh,  
R/o Vill. Sarawa, Tehsil Jaito,  
District Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Jaito, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Faridkot.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.753/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
29.10.2018	Nil	28.11.2018	Nil	18.02.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Gurmeet Singh.  
Respondents- None.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

None is present on behalf of the respondents. Sh. Gurmeet Singh submits that despite his numerous visits to the office of respondents no positive action by them to supply the information has been taken. The Commission finds that the original application was filed on 29.10.2018. Despite the lapse of about 10 months, the respondents have failed in taking the appropriate action.

It is violation of section 7(1) of the RTI Act. The BDPO, Jaito, is hereby issued a show cause notice to explain in a self- attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of RTI Act, 2005 on him for causing willful

Contd...pg...2



-2-

**Appeal Case No.753/2019**

delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Complainant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment suffered by him.

In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under section 20 (1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.

To come up on **25.09.2019 at 11.30AM through video conference at Faridkot.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**



Ms. Amandeep Kaur  
D/o Sh. Gurjant Singh,  
Near Gurudwara Sahib,  
Muktsar Road,Village Rameana  
Jaito,District Faridkot.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Jaito, District Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Faridkot.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.838/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
02.10.2018		20.11.2018	Nil	25.02.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Ms. Amandeep Kaur.  
Respondents- Sh. Jagtar Singh, Panchayat Secretary.

**ORDER**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

Sh. Jagtar Singh, Panchayat Secretary, is present. He says that he has recently joined. The Commission feels that the information sought is quite humungous as this has been sought in handwritten requisition comprising about ten pages. The Commission advises the Panchayat Secretary, to allow her the inspection of the record in contention and she should be provided with the certified copies of the documents to an extent of about 150 pages. Simultaneously, the BDPO, Jaito, is desired to explain the delay in providing the information within the stipulated period. Failing which, the penal provisions shall be invoked without further reference to him.

To come up on **25.09.2019** at **11.30AM** through video conference at **Faridkot.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-**  
**(Yashvir Mahajan)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Raj Kumar,  
S/o Sh. Dhuni Chand,  
R/o Village-Salem Shah,  
District-Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Fazilka.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.1157/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
26.06.2018	Nil	19.11.2018	Nil	22.03.2019

**Present:** Appellant- Sh. Raj Kumar.  
Respondents- None.

**ORDER:**

**The case has been heard through video conference.**

The following order was passed by the bench on 28.05.2019:

*“The respondents are absent. Commission takes serious note of the same.*

*The respondents are directed to provide the information sought for immediately under intimation to the Commission and explain their conduct for having failed to respond to the notice of the Commission.”*

The matter has again come up today. The respondents are absent without any notice. No information reportedly asked for has been delivered. The BDPO apparently has rendered himself liable for the imposition of penalty for violation of section 7(1) of the RTI Act, 2005. The BDPO, Fazilka, is hereby issued a show cause notice to explain in a self-attested affidavit as to why a penalty @ Rs.250/- per day of delay subject to maximum of Rs.25,000/- till the complete information is furnished, be not imposed under Section 20(1) of

Contd...pg...2



-2-

**Appeal Case No.1157/2019**

RTI Act , 2005 on him for causing willful delay / denial of the information to the RTI applicant and why the compensation be not awarded to the Complainant under Section 19 (8) (b) of the Act for the detriment suffered by him.

In addition to the written reply, the PIO is also given an opportunity under section 20 (1) proviso thereto, for a personal hearing before the imposition of such penalty on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file his written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceedings against him ex parte.

To come up on **23.10.2019 at 11.30 AM through video conference at Fazilka.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh.Gurcharan Singh Bisalla  
S/o Sh.Nagina Singh,  
Vill.Basiala, Tehsil Garhshankar  
Distt.Hoshiarpur.

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Garhshankar, District Hoshiarpur.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No.208/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
02.07.2018	Nil	Nil	Nil	25.02.2019

**Present: None**

**ORDER**

None is present. Seemingly, the complainant is satisfied. The complaint is disposed.

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**



Sh. Hakam Singh,  
S/o Sh.Darshan Singh,  
R/o Vill.Sadhir, Tehsil Gidderbaha  
District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Sri Muktsar Sahib

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.837/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
16.07.2018	Nil	22.08.2019	Nil	25.02.2019

**Present: None**

**ORDER**

None is present. The matter is adjourned to be heard on **23.10.2019 at 11.30**

**AM through video conference at Sri Muktsar Sahib.**

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**  
Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @ [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)  
Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



Sh. K.K Jindal,  
R/o # 05883/14089, Near Round about,  
Ganesh Basti, Bathinda.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,  
O/o District Transport Officer,  
Mansa.

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o Sub District Magistrate,  
Mansa.

Respondents

**Appeal Case No.320/2019**

Date of RTI Application	Date of Reply, if any of SPIO	Date of First Appeal made, if any	Date of order, if any of FAA	Date of Second Appeal/ Complaint
25.06.2018	Nil	31.10.2018	Nil	09.01.2019

**Present: None**

**ORDER**

Inadvertently, the video conference was fixed at Fazilka in place of Bathinda.

The matter shall now be heard **through video conference in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda, on 23.10.2019 at 11.30 AM.** The parties are informed accordingly.

**07.08.2019**

**Sd/-  
(Yashvir Mahajan)  
State Information Commissioner**